UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Keith Jamark Houston Defendant	Case No. 1:18-cr-00177-RJJ
	Ifter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Ace	t, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Findings	of Fact
(1)) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(which the prison term is 10 years or more.	4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death of	or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten year	ars or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been conv U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local	icted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 al offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm or dest	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 225	0
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the or local offense.	e defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that defendant has not rebutted that presumption.	
	Alternative Findi	ings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has co	ommitted an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	is prescribed in: .*
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the	
Alternative Findings (B)		
✓ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the s	safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of the Rea	
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the deter a preponderance of the evidence that:	ntion hearing establishes by <u>\(\frac{1}{2}\)</u> clear and convincing
1. Defendant has previously failed to appear and violated conditions of supervision multiple times.		
2. The weight of the evidence is strong.3. Defendant is charged with a crime of violence.		
4. Defendant has a history of substance abuse. 4. Defendant has a history of substance abuse.		
5. Defendant has a pattern of similar criminal history.		
6. Defendant has a history of weapons use.		
7. Defendant has a history of violent behavior.		
Part III – Directions Regarding Detention		

Date: August 28, 2018 Judge's Signature: _/s/ Phillip J. Green

Name and Title: Phillip J. Green, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a